



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,039	12/29/2000	Blair E. Nygren	019143.0339	7737	
7590 05/17/2005		5	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Baker Botts L	.L.P.		ONUAKU, CHI	ONUAKU, CHRISTOPHER O	
Bart E. Showal 2001 Ross Ave			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75201-2980			2616		

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/751,039	NYGREN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher O. Onuaku	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja	anuary 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-13 and 15-22 is/are pending in	the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,11-13 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-10&15-21</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document: 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document: 	s have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	od.				
200 mg anasmos asianos omos acionitor a list	o. the defined depice flot receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	acontrippiodison (i 10-102)				

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

NOTE

After careful consideration, objection to former claims 3&14 have been withdrawn, and the amended claims 1&12 are rejected under the following grounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2,12&13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al (US 5,050,230).

Regarding claim 1, Jones et al disclose a method of storing (see optical discs or magnetic tape; col.1, lines 35-51) and displaying (on video monitors, for example) digital images, including a multiresolution method which makes available reduced resolution versions of original images for quick display and full resolution original images for making photographic quality hardcopies, comprising:

a) storing a first video frame that includes video data (see Fig.2 and image G3);

b) storing a first video sub-frame comprising second video data that is different

Page 3

from the first video data (see Fig.2 and residual image L2

c) generating a second video frame using the first video frame and the first video

sub-frame (see Fig.2 and image G2);

d) storing a second video sub-frame comprising third video data that is different

from the video data of the second video frame (see Fig.2 and residual image L1); and

e) generating a third video frame using the second video frame and the second

video sub-frame (see Fig.2 and image G1);

f) generate a fourth video frame using the third video frame and the third video

frame (see Fig.2 and residual image L0);

g) storing a fourth video frame comprising fourth video data (see Fig.2 and image

G0).

Jones et al fail to explicitly disclose storing a third video sub-frame comprising

fifth video data that is different from the fourth video data, generating a fifth video frame

using the fourth video frame and the third video sub-frame. But this would have been an

obvious engineering design consideration depending on the circuit at hand.

Furthermore, Jones et al disclose that the invention is related to a method of

storing and displaying digital images (see col.1, lines 10-17). However, Jones et al fail

to explicitly disclose displaying the first video frame (i.e., image G3 of Fig.2) and

displaying the fourth video frame. It would have been obvious to display any image as

desired, including the image G3 of Fig.2, and image formed by the fourth video frame, in

order, for example, to satisfy a user's desired image display design requirements.

Regarding claim 2, Jones et al fail to explicitly disclose generating a predetermined number of video frames for storage in a queue prior to displaying the first video frame. However, Jones et al disclose the generation of images G1-G3, for example. And, as discussed above, Jones also disclose wherein the invention is related to a method of storing and displaying digital images. It, therefore, would have been obvious to queue the generated images G1-G3 in a storage means before starting to display the images, beginning with any desired image, including image G3 (first image), in order to satisfy a user's desired image display design requirement.

Regarding claim 12, the claimed limitations of claim 12 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 13, the claimed limitations of claim 13 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 2 above.

4. Claims 11&22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al in view of Best (US 4,305,131).

Regarding claim 11, Jones et al fail to explicitly disclose the processing of audio signal. Further, Jones et al fail to explicitly disclose the method wherein displaying is synchronized with the reproduction of a voice session associated with the video session.

Art Unit: 2616

Best teaches voice controlled television, electric amusement devices, motion pictures and sound synchronizing, videodisc retrieval, digital generating of animated cartoons, and branching motion pictures, wherein commands are executed by generating precisely timed video and audio signal so that a motion picture with lipsynchronized sound is presented to the viewer (see Abstract), and wherein special-purpose microcomputer may automatically schedule and control presentation of video frames, and/or digitally-generated animated cartoons, and digitized audio which is automatically lip-synced with the motion picture (see col.3, lines 38-58).

From the above, it can be seen that Best discloses the principle of video-audio synchronization, thereby, for example, providing a lip-synchronized movie having a seamless flow through alternative story lines. Furthermore, it is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art to synchronize audio with video in a story line, in order, for example, to provide the video of a movie that synchronizes with the movie audio, thereby making the movie more complete and more entertaining.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Jones by incorporating the Jones system with an audio means in order to provide an audio signal. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to synchronize the audio with video in order, for example, to provide the audio signal that can synchronize with video image in a given session, thereby making the video session more complete and more entertaining.

Regarding claim 22, the claimed limitations of claim 22 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 11 above.

Art Unit: 2616

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 6

5. Claims 4-10&15-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 4, the invention relates to communication systems, including a system for reproducing a video session using accelerated frame playback.

The closest references Jones et al disclose a method of storing and displaying digital images, including a multiresolution method which makes available reduced resolution versions of original images for quick display and full resolution original images for making photographic quality hardcopies, Leyvi (US 6,625,389) teach a system that creates and provides an index of information stored in video data where the portions of the video data are grouped in an organized structure, and Pocock et al (US 5,014,125) teach systems for selectively distributing video presentations to viewers, including systems for enabling viewers to interatively select still frame video images, and accompanying audio to be distributed to them over a television system such as a cable network.

However, Jones et al, Leyvi and Pocock et al fail to explicitly teach a method for reproducing a video session, where the method comprises wherein each of the first video frame and the fourth video frame are generated using a corresponding key frame,

Art Unit: 2616

each key frame is associated with a time interval, and each video sub-frame is associated with a time interval subsequent to the time interval of at least one key frame, and where the method further comprises, receiving a command to initiate reproduction of the video session from a particular video sub-frame associated with a selected time interval, determining a key frame associated with a time interval that is prior to the selected time interval and generating a video frame using the determined key frame and the particular video sub-frame.

Regarding claim 15, the invention relates to communication systems, including a system for reproducing a video session using accelerated frame playback.

The closest references Jones et al disclose a method of storing and displaying digital images, including a multiresolution method which makes available reduced resolution versions of original images for quick display and full resolution original images for making photographic quality hardcopies, Leyvi (US 6,625,389) teach a system that creates and provides an index of information stored in video data where the portions of the video data are grouped in an organized structure, and Pocock et al (US 5,014,125) teach systems for selectively distributing video presentations to viewers, including systems for enabling viewers to interatively select still frame video images, and accompanying audio to be distributed to them over a television system such as a cable network.

However, Jones et al, Leyvi and Pocock et al fail to explicitly teach a client for reproducing a video session, where the video session comprises wherein each of the

Page 8

Art Unit: 2616

first video frame and the fourth video frame are generated using a corresponding key frame, each key frame is associated with a time interval, and each video sub-frame is associated with a time interval subsequent to the time interval of at least one key frame, and where the processor is further operable to receive a command to initiate reproduction of the video session from a particular video sub-frame associated with a selected time interval, determine a key frame associated with a time interval that is prior to the selected time interval and generate a video frame using the determined key frame and the particular video sub-frame.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher O. Onuaku whose telephone number is (703) 308-7555. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on 703-305-4380. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

COO

5/2/05

Page 9